

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,501	07/11/2003	Joseph L. Owades	OW-24	3988
27667 7	590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C.			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
130 W. CUSHI TUCSON, AZ			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			1616	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/617,501	OWADES, JOSEPH L.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	· ·			
		Alton N. Pryor	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ss			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayed for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commuNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	April 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	s action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) 1,4-7 and 9-18 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) 2,3 and 8 is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		C C Al-				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	•	•	~ 0			
	application from the International Bure	-	eceived in this ivational Sta	ge			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li		eceived.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview St	immary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	_	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 4/26/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See arguments below.

- II. Rejection of claims 1,4-6 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Jereb will be maintained for reason on record and reason as follows. Applicant argues that Jereb uses precipitated sulfur; whereas; the instant invention employs colloidal (suspended or dispersed) sulfur. Examiner argues that solubility wise sulfur is not soluble in diketone and would therefore Jereb's combination of sulfur in diketone would result in sulfur being suspended or dispersed in the diketone. Therefore, Examiner argues that Jereb's precipitated sulfur in diketone is equivalent to the instant dispersed or suspended sulfur in diketone since in both cases the sulfur would be insoluble in diketone. Applicant provides Weiser's definition of colloidal sulfur. However, Weiser's definition does not exclude precipitated sulfur as being colloidal sulfur. Jereb's composition comprising precipitated sulfur in diketone is applied to treat skin conditions such as acne. It is therefore inherent that such application of Jereb's composition would alleviate itching.
- II. Claim Rejection under 35 USC 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 7,9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jereb in view of Trenzeluk (US 4880627; 11/14/89) as applied to claims 1.4-6 above. See 35 USC 102(b) rejection above. Jereb teaches all that is recited in claims 7,9-18 except for the instant invention comprising alcohol, propylene glycol and glycerol monostearate. Jereb also does not teach the instant amounts of sulfur and diketone. However, Trenzeluk teaches a composition for treating acne comprising cetyl alcohol, propylene glycol and glycerol monostearate. See abstract, Example #3, claim 7. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention taught by Jereb to include the cetyl alcohol, propylene glycol, and glycerol monostearate taught by Trenzeluk. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop a more effective composition for treating skin related diseases. With respect to amounts, one having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the optimum amounts of ingredients. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective invention for treating skin related diseases such as acne.

II. Objection to claims 2,3 is maintained for reason on record in paper dated 3/23/04. Claim 7 is added to this objection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 1616

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

AU 1616